

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Aligness of MIMISSI SEEK OF EXPENSES AND TRAVEMBERS was reprosed to 2011

2PTTCATION NO	HUNĞ DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	A LIORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09 522,342	03/09/2000	Timothy A. Stewart	A-68670 RMS DAV	3273
-	(590) 03 11 2002			
Mark T Kresnak Genetech Inc I DNA Way			EXAMINER	
			CHERNYSHEV, OLGA N	
South San Francisco, CA 94080-4990			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
			DATE MAILED: 03-11-2002	16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
_	09/522,342	STEWART ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Olga N. Chernyshev	1646
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after it earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b)	ATION. 17 CFR 1 136(a) In no event, however, may a recation ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty by period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONI by statute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely FHS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U S C § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .	
	This action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims	r allowance except for formal matt	
4) Claim(s) 1-11,13-26 and 28-77 is/are p	pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 23-26 and 28-	-77 is/are withdrawn from conside	ration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)	l.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[\square accepted or b) \square objected to by th	ne Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objecti	ion to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed or	n is: a)∏ approved b)∏ di	sapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are require	• •	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been received in Ap	pplication No
	he priority documents have been r onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). or a list of the certified copies not r	•
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application)
a) The translation of the foreign languation. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for contact the second		
Attachment(s)		
I) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-8) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	.948) 5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No 16

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the claims

1. Claims 12 and 27 have been cancelled as requested in the amendment of Paper No.5. Claims 1-11, 13-26, 28-77 are pending in the instant application.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in Paper No. 15 is acknowledged.
 Claims 23-26 and 28-77 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 15.

Claims 1-11 and 13-22 are under examination in the instant office action.

Oath/Declaration

3. Clarification of inventorship in the instant application is requested. Also, clarification of the citizenship of A. Goddard is requested.

Specification

4. The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code (page 19, line 5). Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

Art Unit: 1646

5. Table 1 of pages 32-60 is found undecipherable. Clarification of the content and its relation to the instant specification is requested.

6. The use of the trademarks has been noted in this application (page 28, line 31; page 86, line 30; page 90, line 18; page 109, line 22; page 118, line 13; page 123, line 13; page 120, line 28). It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Applicant is advised to carefully check the specification for other possible embedded hyperlinks or trademarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claims 1, 14-16 and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an isolated nucleic molecule of SEQ ID NO:1 encoding a FGF-19 polypeptide, does not reasonably provide enablement for any other isolated nucleic acid molecule encoding a FGF-19 polypeptide specifically articulated in claims 1, 5, 7, 13, 15, 18-22. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Art Unit: 1646

Claims 1, 14-16 and 18-22 are directed to nucleic acid molecules encoding a FGF-19 polypeptide. The instant specification discloses FGF-19 as a novel polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:2 as derived from the coding sequence of SEQ ID NO:1 (page 14, lines 28-29). The examples provided in the specification identify the asserted biological activity of FGF-19. However, the claims 1, 14-16 and 18-22 are drawn to isolated nucleic acid molecules that have at least about 80% sequence identity to DNA molecules encoding a FGF-19 polypeptide, thereby requiring undue experimentation to discover how to make the full scope of Applicant's invention, as currently claimed. The instant specification fails to disclose other nucleic acid molecules that have at least about 80% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:1 and possess the function of FGF-19 of SEQ ID NO:1. There is no indication in the specification which would lead to conclusion which portion of SEQ ID NO:1 is responsible for the growth factor function of SEQ ID NO:1. The prior art does not teach that a nucleic acid that has at least about 80% identity to a nucleic acid encoding a growth factor would encode a polypeptide that retains the biological activity of the original growth factor. The working examples provided in the instant specification support only FGF-19, a polypeptide encoded by SEQ ID NO:2. Thus, in view of the lack of teachings and unpredictability of the art set forth earlier, and also the total absence of the working examples, the instant specification while being enabling for the isolated nucleic acid molecule of SEO ID NO:1 encoding FGF-19 polypeptide is not found to be enabling for an isolated nucleic acid molecule which comprises DNA having at least about 80% sequence identity to a DNA molecule encoding a FGF-19 polypeptide. It would require undue experimentation and making a substantial inventive contribution for the skilled artisan to discover how to practice the full scope of Applicants' invention as currently claimed.

Art Unit: 1646

8. Claims 1, 5-7, 14-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 1, 5-7, 14-22 are directed to nucleic acid molecules which have at least about 80% identity to a DNA molecule encoding a FDF-19 polypeptide, or to a DNA molecule encoding the same protein cDNA deposited in an ATCC Deposit. However, the instant specification fails to describe the entire genus of nucleic acids, which are encompassed by these claims. In making a determination of whether the application complies with the written description requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, it is necessary to understand what Applicant has possession of and what Applicant is claiming. From the specification, it is clear that Applicant has possession of a nucleic acid molecule of SEQ ID NO:1. This nucleic acid molecule is contained within ATCC deposit #209480. The subject matter, which is claimed is described above. First, a determination of the level of predictability in the art must be made in that whether the level of skill in the art leads to a predictability of structure; and/or whether teachings in the application or prior art lead to a predictability of structure. The claims are nucleic acid molecules, which have at least about 80% identity to a DNA molecule encoding a FDF-19 polypeptide, or to a DNA molecule encoding the same protein cDNA deposited in an ATCC Deposit. First, the claims are not limited to a polynucleotide with a specific nucleic acid sequence. The claims only require the polypeptide share some degree of structural similarity to the isolated nucleic acid of SEQ ID NO:1. The specification only describes a polynucleotide having the nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:1 and fails to teach or describe any other

Art Unit: 1646

polynucleotide, which lacks the nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:1 and has the activities possessed by the isolated protein. Therefore, there is a lack of guidance or teaching regarding structure and function because there is only a single example provided in the specification and because there is no guidance found in the prior art.

Next in making a determination of whether the application complies with the written description requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, each claimed species and genus must be evaluated to determine whether there is sufficient written description to inform a skilled artisan that applicant was in possession of the claimed invention at the time the application was filed. With this regard, the instant application fails to provide a written description of the species or the genus which are encompassed by the instant claims except for the nucleic acid molecule of SEQ ID NO:1. The specification does not provide a complete structure of those nucleic acid molecules which have at least about 80% identity to a DNA molecule encoding a FDF-19 polypeptide, or to a DNA molecule encoding the same protein cDNA deposited in an ATCC Deposit. The claims also fail to recite other relevant identifying characteristics (physical and/or chemical and/or functional characteristics coupled with a known or disclosed correlation between function and structure) sufficient to describe the claimed invention in such full, clear. concise and exact terms that a skilled artisan would recognize applicant was in possession of the claimed invention. The specification fails to provide a representative number of species for the claimed genus (those nucleic acid molecules which have at least about 80% identity to a DNA molecule encoding a FDF-19 polypeptide, or to a DNA molecule encoding the same protein cDNA deposited in an ATCC Deposit) because the specification teaches only the one embodiment of SEQ ID NO:1. Therefore, the claims are directed subject matter which was not

Art Unit: 1646

described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

9. Claim 22 is further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for claiming an inoperable process. Briefly, claim 22 is directed to a process for producing a FGF-19 polypeptide by culturing a host cell, which contains a vector comprising the nucleic acid molecule of claim 1, while nucleic acids of claim 1 do not encode the FGF-19 polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:2.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 10. Claims 1-11 and 13-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 11. Claims 1-4, 9-11, 13-16, 18-22 are vague and indefinite for recitation of "FGF-19 polypeptide". The metes and bounds of the term "FGF-19 polypeptide" cannot be determined from the claim.
- 12. Claims 1, 5, 7 and 14 are indefinite for reciting "about 80% sequence identity". The metes and bounds of "about 80%" cannot be determined from the claims.
- 13. Claims 1, 4, 9, 10 and 13 are vague and indefinite in their recitation of limitation "about 23 to about 216 amino acids". Even though the term "about" in a claim is inherently vague and indefinite, its use is appropriate when employed to limit a value which is composed of indefinitely divisible units such as inches, meters, grams and pints, where it is impractical to

Art Unit: 1646

produce an item which has exactly the dimension recited. Even if one could practically produce an item which is exactly 1 inch in length, the length of that item is conditional upon the temperature at which it is measured. However, when defining an invention in terms of indivisible numerical units such as the number of nucleotides in a nucleic acid, the number of amino acids in a polypeptide or the number of legs on a chair or table, the term "about" is unacceptably vague and indefinite since it is practical to employ a term, which possesses the required precision. If, for example, it is Applicant's intension that the claims should encompass a polypeptide of more than a certain amount of acids in length then this is exactly what the claim should recite. Whereas one would reasonably interpret the term "about one inch" as encompassing any value from 0.90 inches to 1.10 inches one would not know if the term "about 23 to about 216 amino acids" would exclude 22 or 24 to 215 or 217 amino acids.

- 14. Claim 2 is vague and ambiguous for reciting "the sequence of nucleotide positions". The metes and bounds of "nucleotide positions" cannot be determined from the claim. Further, the claim is indefinite for recitation of "about 464 or about 1111" (for explanations see section 10 of the instant office action.).
- 15. The scope of the claim 9 cannot be positively interpreted, which makes the claim vague and indefinite. The only limitation of claim 9 is a "nucleic acid molecule encoding a FGF-19 polypeptide, while addition "comprising DNA that hybridizes to the complement" makes the claim confusing.
- 16. Claims 11 and 13 are indefinite because conditions of "stringent hybridization", which is an important limitation of a claim, are not defined.

Art Unit: 1646

- 17. Claim 16 is vague and ambiguous because the claim requires that "nucleic acid molecule is operably linked to control sequences" referring to claim 1, while the vast majority of the nucleic acid sequences encompassed by claim 1 do not encode a protein.
- 18. Claim 22 is indefinite because the claim requires the production of FGF-19 polypeptide using nucleic acids that do not encode a FGF-19 polypeptide.
- 19. Claims 3, 6, 8, 15, 17-21 are indefinite for being dependent from the indefinite claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

20. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baird et al. (1990, Handbook of Exp. Pharmacology, Chapter 7, 95 (1), pp. 369-419, reference 7 of record in the list of disclosures cited by Applicant).

Claim 9 encompasses any isolated nucleic acid molecule encoding "FGF-19 polypeptide". Without providing hybridization conditions and definition of "FGF-19 polypeptide" any nucleic acid, encoding a fibroblast growth factor would anticipate the nucleic acid of claim 9. Baird et al. teach acidic and basic fibroblast growth factors providing their amino acid sequences. Therefore, a nucleic acid encoding, for example, basic fibroblast growth factor, would anticipate a nucleic acid of claim 9.

Art Unit: 1646

Conclusion

21. No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga N. Chernyshev whose telephone number is (703) 305-1003. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9 AM to 5 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Yvonne Eyler can be reached on (703) 308-6564. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 782-9306 for regular communications and (703) 782-9307 for After Final communications.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax center located in Crystal Mall 1 (CM1). The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)0. NOTE: If Applicant *does* submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by Applicant or Applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4556 or (703) 308-4242. If either of these numbers is out of service, please call the Group receptionist for an alternative number. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294. Official papers should NOT be faxed to (703) 308-0294.

Art Unit: 1646

Page 11

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

они ишм

Olga N. Chernyshev, Ph.D. 22 March 8, 2002